

United States Patent and Trademark Office



UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS

P.O. Box 1450						
Alexandria, Virgi	nia 22	313	-14	50		
www.uspto.gov						

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.		
10/775,022	02/09/2004	Visvesvaraya Pentakota	TI-37302	6960		
23494	7590 11/08/2005		EXAM	EXAMINER		
TEXAS INSTRUMENTS INCORPORATED		WELLS, KENNETH B				
DALLAS,	55474, M/S 3999 FX 75265		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER		
ŕ			2816			
			DATE MAILED: 11/08/2009	5		

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
10/775,022	PENTAKOTA ET AL.		
Examiner	Art Unit		
Kenneth B. Wells	2816		

	Kenneth B. Wells	2816	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with the c	orrespondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 01 November 2005 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	S APPLICATION IN CONDITION F	OR ALLOWANCE.	
 The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or or this application, applicant must timely file one of the follor places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a No a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in complian 	wing replies: (1) an amendment, aff otice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in (idavit, or other evider compliance with 37 C	nce, which FR 41.31; or (3)
time periods: a) The period for reply expires <u>3</u> months from the mailing date	e of the final rejection.		
b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire	Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth		
Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 7		FIRST REPLY WAS F	ILED WITHIN
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date			
have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of exunder 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office late may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b NOTICE OF APPEAL	shortened statutory period for reply origing r than three months after the mailing da	inally set in the final Offi	ce action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in com	pliance with 37 CFR 41 37 must be	filed within two month	ns of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any external a Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed	ension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to	avoid dismissal of th	
AMENDMENTS			
 The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, They raise new issues that would require further co They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below) 	nsideration and/or search (see NO		ecause
(c) They are not deemed to place the application in be appeal; and/or	•••	ducing or simplifying	the issues for
(d) They present additional claims without canceling a		ected claims.	
NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		taambaam A taailaan	(DTOL 224)
 The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1 Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s 		impliant Amendment	(PTOL-324).
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be a		timely filed amendme	ant cancaling the
non-allowable claim(s).	nowable it subtrinted it a separate,	unicly filed amending	on canceling the
 For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is pro 		ll be entered and an e	explanation of
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed: <u>4 and 5</u> . Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-3</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, be because applicant failed to provide a showing of good an was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 	ut before or on the date of filing a North and sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons why the affidate of the sufficient reasons who have been sufficient to the sufficient reasons which is a sufficient reason which is a sufficient reasons which is a sufficient reason which is a	otice of Appeal will <u>no</u> rit or other evidence is	ot be entered s necessary and
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessar 	overcome all rejections under appe	al and/or appellant fa	ils to provide a
10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		* * * *	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered by See Continuation Sheet.	ut does NOT place the application in	n condition for allowa	nce because:
12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s).	(PTO/SB/08 or PTO-1449) Paper N	lo(s)	
13.	1/	ennet st	ML
	1	Kenneth B. Wells Primary Examiner	

Art Unit: 2816

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: the arguments regarding the limitation that the slope of the ramp signal varies as the input capacitance of the amplifier varies are not persuasive because in the two applied references the input capacitance of the amplifier affects the slope of the ramp signal in the same manner that the input capacitance of the amplifier according to applicant's invention affects the slope of the ramp signal, i.e., the output of the ramp generator is simply applied to the input of the amplifier in both the present invention and in Jordan/Steubing. Thus, because no difference is seen, the arguments relying on this alleged difference are not persuasive.